

**COURT No.2
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH: NEW DELHI**

2.

OA 546/2026

Hony Sub Maj Shivaputrappa
Mandalappa Sanganal (Retd) Applicant
VERSUS
Union of India and Ors. Respondents

For Applicant : Mr. AK Chaudhary, Advocate
For Respondents : Mr. Manish Rawat, Advocate
Maj Abhishek Kumar, OIC, Legal Cell

CORAM

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANU MALHOTRA, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE REAR ADMIRAL DHIREN VIG, MEMBER (A)

ORDER
16.03.2026

Learned counsel Mr. AK Chaudhary who is present on behalf of the applicant whose Vakalatnama is on the record of the OA at page 27 submits pursuant to proceedings dated 16.02.2026 that prayer 8(a) of the OA has been erroneously worded and what the applicant seeks is grant of notional increment to the applicant in the rank of Subedar for which he had been discharged on 30.06.2012 submitting to the effect that despite having completed a year of service on 30.06.2012 he has not been granted notional increment.

2. On behalf of the respondents, it has been submitted by the OIC Legal Cell, Army that the respondents are processing the grant of the payment of the notional increment to the applicant as due to him in the rank of Subedar.

3. In view of settled law vide verdict of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Director (Admn & HR), KPTCL v. C.P. Mundinamani (2023 INSC 352)*, decided on 11.04.2023, the applicant is undoubtedly entitled to the grant of notional increment due to him for the period of one year from 01.07.2011 to 30.06.2012 and the respondents are thus directed to grant the said notional increment to the applicant for the said period and issue the Corrigendum PFO to the applicant accordingly within a period of two months from the date of this order.

4. There is no order as to costs.

(JUSTICE ANU MALHOTRA)
MEMBER (J)

(REAR ADMIRAL DHIREN VIG)
MEMBER (A)

AP/AK